



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 2040 01/22/2001 A4182/T34100 Liang-Guo Wang 09/767,282 06/24/2003 7590 32588 **EXAMINER** APPLIED MATERIALS, INC. 2881 SCOTT BLVD. M/S 2061 HASSANZADEH, PARVIZ SANTA CLARA, CA 95050 PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 1763 DATE MAILED: 06/24/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/767,282		WANG ET AL.	
		Examiner		Art Unit	
	•	Parviz Hassanza	deh	1763	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app			orrespondence address	
Period for	r Reply				
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a represent of the reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing apparent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, hower  ly within the statutory mini will apply and will expire S	ver, may a reply be tim mum of thirty (30) day SIX (6) MONTHS from become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
3tatus 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	May 2003 .			
1)⊠ 2a)⊠	•	his action is non-fi	nal.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
•	closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims	r Ex parte Quayle,	1935 C.D. 11, 4	453 O.G. 213.	
4)🖂	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7,8,10-13,33 and 34</u> is/are pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>10</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>7,8,11-13,33 and 34</u> is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election require	ment.		
	ion Papers				
	The specification is objected to by the Examin		ad to by the Eve	ominer	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	epted or b) object	led to by the Exc	See 37 CFR 1 85(a)	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) $\boxtimes$ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>12 May 2003</u> is: a) $\boxtimes$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	an priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).	
	)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:		-		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
*	<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
15) L		Joho Priority and or			
1)  Not	tice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s		Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152) d proposed drawings .	

Art Unit: 1763

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Species 1 (frequency modulated signal) of group 1 in Paper No. 9 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that examination of the all pending claims would not be unduly burdensome. This is not found persuasive because as cited in paper No. 5 (restriction requirement) the inventions of groups 1-3 are distinct and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification. Further, the special technical features of species 1-3 are distinct and the search required for species 1 is not coexistence with the search required for the other species. It is also noted that claim 10 belong to species 3 (amplitude and frequency modulated signal).

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim 10 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, method and apparatus, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 9.

#### **Drawings**

The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed substitute sheets of drawings, filed on 5/12/03 have been approved by the Examiner. A proper drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The correction to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 1763

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 7, 8, 11-13, 33 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ogino et al (US Patent No. 6,471,821 B2) in view of Yamagata et al (US Patent No. 5,362,358) and Miller et al (Electronics The Easy Way, 1995, pages 207-226).

Ogino et al teach a plasma processing apparatus (Figs. 1, 2) comprising:

a power device 30 for generating a frequency modulated signal (a carrier source adapted to generate a first RF signal at a carrier frequency; a modulation source adapted to generate a second RF signal at a modulation frequency; a modulator adapted to modulate the first RF signal with the second RF signal to form a frequency modulated signal); and

a reaction chamber 2 including a stage 6 supporting a wafer 7 thereon, wherein the stage 6 is connected to the RF power source 30 (a plasma processing chamber coupled to the modulator) (abstract and column 4, lines 10-51).

Application/Control Number: 09/767,282

Art Unit: 1763

Ogino et al fail to explicitly disclose the details of the frequency modulated power source as comprising a carrier source adapted to generate a first RF signal at a carrier frequency; a modulation source adapted to generate a second RF signal at a modulation frequency; a modulator adapted to modulate the first RF signal with the second RF signal to form a frequency modulated signal; and including a matching network.

Page 4

Yamagata et al teach a plasma processing apparatus (Fig. 8) including a mechanism for amplitude modulating, wherein a carrier frequency from a power source 50 is mixed with a modulation signal from a pulse generator 94 in a modulation circuit (modulator) 92 (column 6, lines 1-10). Yamagata et al (Fig. 8) further teach an amplifier 90 for amplifying the modulated signal, and an impedance matching network 52 to correct the impedance mismatch between the reactor and the power source.

Miller et al teach how a frequency modulated signal can be constructed by combing the output of a carrier source providing a first RF signal with the output of a modulation source providing a second RF signal (pages 209-211).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement the modulator 92 as taught by Yamagata et al and the carrier source and the modulation source as taught by Miller in the apparatus of Ogino et al in order to generate a modulated frequency. It would also have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement the impedance matching network as taught by Yamagata et al in the apparatus of Ogino et al in order to correct for impedance mismatch between the reactor and the power source.

Application/Control Number: 09/767,282 Page 5

Art Unit: 1763

Regarding claim 8: the apparatus of Yamagata et al (Fig. 8) further includes an amplifier 90 for amplifying the modulated signal, and an impedance matching network 52. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement the amplifier as taught by Yamagata et al in the apparatus of Ogino et al in order to amplify the modulated signal.

Regarding claim 11: as shown in Figs. 12-4 and 12-5 of Miller et al, the modulation frequency (A) is a sine wave.

Regarding claim 12: the apparatus of Ogino et al is a plasma etching apparatus (column 4, lines 28-33 and abstract).

Regarding claim 13: as shown in Figs. 12-4 and 12-5 of Miller et al, the modulating frequency is less than the carrier frequency. For example, in Fig. 12-5, the frequency of signal A (modulating frequency) is less than about 0.1 time the frequency of signal B (carrier frequency).

Regarding claims 33, 34: the frequency modulated signal as taught by Ogino et al is not restricted to a particular range, therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to choose the frequency of the modulated signal within any desire range such as 13.56 MHz which is one of the commonly use frequency in plasma processing of substrate.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 5/12/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 09/767,282 Page 6

Art Unit: 1763

Applicants assert that adding a single matching network to the reactor of Ogino et al would result in less efficient (total) power delivery and is generally used for allowing a power signal at a "single" frequency to efficiently pass to a process chamber.

The Examiner argues that the motivation for employing a matching network in the reactor of Ogino et al is for the general intended use of a matching network which to correct the impedance mismatch between the reactor (load) and the power source. The matching network may be chosen to be a variable or a fixed frequency matching network, wherein in a fixed frequency matching network, a desire frequency is preferably set to pass to the reactor. The desire frequency in practice may be a small range within the tolerance of the matching network circuit. Thus, one of ordinary skill in the art would chose the matching network for correcting the impedance mismatch between the reactor and the power source when the frequencies of the modulating frequency signals are within the frequency tolerance of the matching network.

Applicants assert that there is no motivation for amplifying the power signal in Ogino et al.

The Examiner argues that the use of amplifier is desirable as it allows operating the power source as a lower initial power level.

## Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Art Unit: 1763

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Otsubo et al (US Patent No. 4,808,258) teach a mechanism for producing an amplitude modulated signal, the mechanism includes a modulator, a carrier source, a modulation source, an amplifier and a matching box;

Ogino et al (JP 10-150025), Ogino et al (US Publication No. 2002/0066537 A1), and Ogino et al (US Publication No. 2003/0000646 A1) teach a plasma reactor including a power source 30 generating a frequency modulated signal.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Parviz Hassanzadeh whose telephone number is (703)308-2050. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Mills can be reached on (703)308-1633. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-9310 for regular communications and (703)872-9311 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/767,282

Art Unit: 1763

Page 8

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

Parviz Hassanzadeh Primary Examiner Art Unit 1763

June 19, 2003